

October 15, 2018

The Carroll County Board of Supervisors held their regular monthly meeting on, October 15, 2018 in the Board Meeting Room of the Carroll County Governmental Center.

Present were:

Rex L. Hill
Dr. Tom Littrell
Phillip McCraw
Bob Martin
Steve Truitt, County Administrator
Nikki Cannon, Assistant County Administrator
Steve Durbin, County Attorney

Mr. Hill called the meeting to order at 4:31 p.m.

CLOSED SESSION – PURSUANT TO VIRGINIA CODE SECTION 2.2-3711(A1, A5, A7)

Upon motion by Mr. Martin, seconded by Mr. Phillip McCraw, and passing, the Board convened a Closed Session for the discussion, as authorized by Virginia Code Section 2.2-3711 (A1, A5, A8).

Mr. Durbin explained the reasons for entering Closed Session.

1. Discussion or consideration, or interviews of prospective candidates for employment; assignment, appointment, promotion, performance, demotion, salaries, disciplining, or resignation of specific public officers, appointees, or employees of any public body; and evaluation of performance of departments or schools of public institutions of higher education where such evaluation will necessarily involve discussion of the performance of specific individuals. Any teacher shall be permitted to be present during a closed meeting in which there is a discussion or consideration of a disciplinary matter that involves the teacher and some student and the student involved in the matter is present, provided the teacher makes a written request to be present to the presiding officer of the appropriate board.

5. Discussion concerning a prospective business or industry or the expansion of an existing business or industry where no previous announcement has been made of the business' or industry's interest in locating or expanding its facilities in the community.

8. Consultation with legal counsel employed or retained by a public body regarding specific legal matters requiring the provision of legal advice by such counsel. Nothing in this subdivision shall be construed to permit the closure of a meeting merely because an attorney representing the public body is in attendance or is consulted on a matter.

VOTES

Mr. Webb	Not Present
Mr. Phillip McCraw	Yes
Mr. Hill	Yes
Mr. Robbie McCraw	Not Present
Dr. Littrell	Yes
Mr. Martin	Yes

(Order)

COME OUT OF CLOSED SESSION

Upon motion by Mr. Martin, seconded by Dr. Littrell and passing, the Board came out of Closed Session.

VOTES

Mr. Webb	Not Present
Mr. Phillip McCraw	Yes
Mr. Hill	Yes
Mr. Robbie McCraw	Not Present
Dr. Littrell	Yes
Mr. Martin	Yes

(Order)

CERTIFICATION OF CLOSED SESSION

Upon motion by Mr. Martin, seconded by Dr. Littrell and passing, the Board adopted the following Resolution:

WHEREAS, the Carroll County Board of Supervisors convened a Closed Session this date pursuant to an affirmative recorded vote and on the motion to close the meeting in accordance with the Virginia Freedom of Information Act;

WHEREAS, Section 2.2-3711(D) of the Code of Virginia requires a certification by the Board of Supervisors that such Closed Session was conducted in conformity with Virginia law;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Carroll County Board of Supervisors hereby certifies that, to the best of each member’s knowledge, (I) only public business matters lawfully exempted from open meeting requirements under the Virginia Freedom of Information Act were heard, discussed or considered in the Closed Session to which this certification applies, and (II) only such business matters as were identified in the motion by which this Closed Session was convened were heard, discussed, or considered in the meeting to which this certification applies.

VOTES

Mr. Webb	Not Present
Mr. Phillip McCraw	Yes
Mr. Hill	Yes
Mr. Robbie McCraw	Not Present
Dr. Littrell	Yes
Mr. Martin	Yes

(Order)

APPROVAL OF AGENDA

Upon motion by Mr. Martin, seconded by Dr. Tom Littrell and passing, the Board approved the agenda.

VOTES

Mr. Webb	Not Present
Mr. Phillip McCraw	Yes
Mr. Hill	Yes
Mr. Robbie McCraw	Not Present
Dr. Littrell	Yes
Mr. Martin	Yes

Mr. Hill told there are a lot of folks here tonight and a lot of people want to listen, especially us of what is going to be spoken to us tonight so please keep it down so everybody can hear. Everybody’s comments are important so lets keep that in mind when we have people speaking.

(Order)

CONSENT AGENDA

Upon motion by Mr. Martin seconded by Mr. Phillip McCraw and passing, the Board approved the Consent Agenda, pulling out the VACo Voting.

VOTES

Mr. Webb	Not Present
Mr. Phillip McCraw	Yes
Mr. Hill	Yes
Mr. Robbie McCraw	Not Present
Dr. Littrell	Yes
Mr. Martin	Yes

(Order)

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Upon motion by Mr. Martin seconded by Mr. Phillip McCraw and passing, the Board approved the minutes of the meeting on September 10 and September 24, 2018.

VOTES

Mr. Webb	Not Present
Mr. Phillip McCraw	Yes
Mr. Hill	Yes
Mr. Robbie McCraw	Not Present
Dr. Littrell	Yes
Mr. Martin	Yes

(Order)

PPTRA

Upon motion by Mr. Martin, seconded by Mr. Phillip McCraw and passing, the Board approved the PPTRA Resolution as presented.

VOTES

Mr. Webb	Not Present
Mr. Phillip McCraw	Yes
Mr. Hill	Yes
Mr. Robbie McCraw	Not Present
Dr. Littrell	Yes
Mr. Martin	Yes

(Order)

SCHOOL APPROPRIATION

Upon motion by Mr. Martin, seconded by Mr. Phillip McCraw and passing, the Board approved the appropriation request in the amount of \$417,474.00.

VOTES

Mr. Webb	Not Present
Mr. Phillip McCraw	Yes
Mr. Hill	Yes
Mr. Robbie McCraw	Not Present
Dr. Littrell	Yes
Mr. Martin	Yes

(Order)

HOLIDAY SCHEDULE/RESOLUTION

Upon motion by Mr. Martin, seconded by Mr. Phillip McCraw and passing, the Board approved the 2019 Holiday Schedule and Resolution.

VOTES

Mr. Webb	Not Present
Mr. Phillip McCraw	Yes
Mr. Hill	Yes
Mr. Robbie McCraw	Not Present
Dr. Littrell	Yes
Mr. Martin	Yes

(Order)

COMMONWEALTH ATTORNEY

Upon motion by Mr. Martin, seconded by Mr. Phillip McCraw and passing, the Board approved an allocation in the amount of \$13,800.

VOTES

Mr. Webb	Not Present
Mr. Phillip McCraw	Yes
Mr. Hill	Yes
Mr. Robbie McCraw	Not Present
Dr. Littrell	Yes
Mr. Martin	Yes

(Order)

VACo VOTING

Upon motion by Mr. Martin, seconded by Mr. Phillip McCraw and passing, the Board approved to appoint Dr. Littrell as the VACo Voting Delegate and Steve Truitt as the alternate for the VACo Conference.

VOTES

Mr. Webb	Not Present
Mr. Phillip McCraw	Yes
Mr. Hill	Yes
Mr. Robbie McCraw	Not Present
Dr. Littrell	Yes
Mr. Martin	Yes

(Order)

CARROLL COUNTY MIDDLE SCHOOL PARLIMENTARIAN

Mr. John Carpenter told they appreciate you inviting us. We started practicing this last year and one of the things we do is a conduct of meeting contest and that was last spring and we placed 2nd in the state. They say there is no prize for 2nd place but when they called us a month ago and said the 1st place team has a couple of football players and they can't go to nationals, would your team like to go to nationals. I went and ask these kids and their first vote was unanimous and it was yes so next week we go to Indianapolis Indiana to compete at the national contest. We thought we would give them a super practice here. Thank you for your community service, every person here tonight should be commended because they care enough about their community to be here and speak and that is very motivational for these kids. For the contest they do the opening ceremony then they are given a problem, they have not seen that problem until about 30 seconds ago and each member has a parliamentary term that they are not sure of until they get it. Mr. Jeff Hedge is here with me, he is a parent helper and I have had numerous help on this. The Middle School has 450 students taking Ag and these are our best right here. We had 70 that volunteered at the Fair and 33 come to the Pumpkin Festival on a Saturday and we have really great kids. Hannah, the President and also the

all-state President will come up and lead the demonstration. Mr. Carpenter told the kids have never practiced that problem and we are very proud of where we are at. One reason we placed 2nd is because we talk kind of funny. Thank you very much and we do have a box, one of the things that comes along with this is a \$5000 bill that we have had to come up with in a month. I would like for the kids not to have to use their own money and they have worked really hard.

Mr. Martin asked how short they were.

Mr. Carpenter told right now we are probably \$1000 short. They raised money selling beef sticks and working during the pumpkin festival. They also made money selling items during intercession.

Upon motion by Mr. Martin, seconded by Mr. Phillip McCraw and passing, the Board approved to donate \$1000 to the CCMS FFA for their trip.

VOTES

Mr. Webb	Not Present
Mr. Phillip McCraw	Yes
Mr. Hill	Yes
Mr. Robbie McCraw	Not Present
Dr. Littrell	Yes
Mr. Martin	Yes

(Order)

CITIZENS TIME

No one was signed up to speak.

(Order)

PUBLIC HEARING

Mr. Hill told the purposed of the public hearing and opened it at 6:31 p.m.

Mr. Truitt told to lay a foundation we wanted to do two things, we have Robert Hilt from USDA to discuss the options that are and are not available and I was going to present the foundation in the law of Virginia with the ordinances we have now without expressing any opinion for or against it just so what actually exists is exposed to everybody here and then we would proceed with all the folks who are here to speak.

Mr. Robert Hilt told it is a beautiful drive over and watching the parliamentary team was a bonus, I used to do that they were very impressive. Before I came over I was looking at our history with the PSA and you know we go back a long ways doing business with the PSA over the years for water and sewer projects we funded better than \$46 million. Of that \$46 million better than \$19 million has been grants so we have had a good productive history together, accomplished a lot and we hope we can continue to do so. Everybody talks about the non-user fee and in Virginia code I think that really how that is referred to is mandatory connection ordinance. That is a tool that a PSA and county can use to help make a water system pay for itself. It's not mandatory with Rural Development that a county or a PSA do that. That is an option that is available to the governing board to exercise if that is what they want to use in combination with the revenues from metered customers using water and other revenue sources to be able to pay the operation and maintenance expenses, debt service associated with the loans and reserves that are required with obtaining credit. We don't require that it is a decision that is made by the governing board. On a water system and a sewer system there is more than one way to make an operating budget work our loans are secured by what is called revenue bonds which means the PSA pledges water revenues and sewer revenues to be able to pay for those loans. They can collect revenues they have residential customers you have commercial and industrial customers, it's up to the Board to work with how they charge those respective customers to be able to make a budget work and operate in

the black rather than the red. Mandatory ordinance can be a tool that's used to help that budget balance. If the PSA or the County working collectively decides to rescind, that is allowable for us but what they are going to have to be able to do is come up with revenue to offset that so that they can pay the debt payments, pay the operation and fund the maintenance expenses and fund the reserves that are required. That was a decision that was made by the County and PSA to try to extend service, I'm sure that there were people that needed service maybe some didn't that is a decision by the governing board. The alternatives to paying if they repeal I guess the mandatory ordinance and the collection of non user fees would be to pass the expenses on to people who are using water. There is also an instrument in place that the County uses that's called an availability agreement with the Industrial Development Authority where they pay so much a month for x number of gallons of capacity for water and sewer, that could be adjusted or a combination of the two or they could chose to leave what's in place in place. I know that one concern was how does that affect grant eligibility if it was to be repealed, it does not affect grant eligibility the main things that we want to see on as far as grant eligibility is that all customers in the same category of use are treated uniformly and consistently. We want the PSA to conform to the reporting requirements, each year they give us an audit report and the main reason we get that is we want to know they are operating in a manner that is self-sustaining and they are meeting the necessary expenses for it to be solvent. It sup to the Board to determine how they wish to do that, that's the only way I know how to say it in plain English straight talk. Are there any questions, Steve.

Mr. Truitt told no questions but one comment, regardless of how they decide to do it, it has to be balanced somehow.

Mr. Hilt replied yes.

Mr. Truitt told so when you say it is not mandatory for this particular ordinance that is true, but it is necessary to have a plan to get there so that your organization can give grants to the County. To summarize what I just said, while its not necessary to pass any particular ordinance to be eligible for a USDA loan it is necessary to show one way or another that the revenue from all sources is sufficient to support the debt. Whether its changing your rate structure coming up with the money from other taxpayers, something like that is required its not something that can be skipped.

Mr. Hilt told that is correct. That is why we require an audit report each year so we can try to assess the situation and make sure that PSA is operating in a responsible manner and they have the necessary income to pay the debt service operation and maintenance expenses and fund the required reserves that we have.

Mr. Hill told we can pass out cards and you can put your questions on the cards.

Mr. Durbin told that he is the County Attorney and they have met a few times and I wanted to clarify something if I could. The letter of conditions that rural development provides to the PSA as going through the process of qualifying for the bonds a lot of conditions includes the mandatory connectional ordinance and that is something that is reviewed and approved by Rural Development. The letter of conditions in then incorporated into the bond resolution where the borrowing is actually approved. The letter of conditions was actually incorporated into the motion when the financing was approved by this body so how would Rural Development propose moving from one situation where your relying on mandatory connection to another if its incorporated into the bond.

Mr. Hilt told that main thing is the revenue bond ultimately what we are wanting to see is that the revenues generated by the system are sufficient. I don't want to sound like a broken record but that it is sufficient to pay the expenses, operation and maintenance, debt service and fund the debt service reserve, an asset replacement reserve and an operation maintenance expense reserve. If the County is able to do that without the mandatory ordinance then that is allowable.

Mr. Durbin asked if that is in the regulation somewhere or is that something we would do as an amendment to the bond agreement.

Mr. Hilt told I don't think we are necessarily worried about that. I've talked to the folks in Richmond as well with our agency and as long as we feel that as long as the PSA and the County feel they have the revenues coming in to be able to do that then we would be okay. If its repealed I think a simple vote would do that.

Mr. Durbin told so I hear what you are saying and I think it is a fair response. You are worried about the practicalities of making sure that the money is going to be there to pay the bond but you can see the concern that I have from a technical legal perspective that the mandatory connection was incorporated into the bond. Is that something that Rural Development in Richmond could address.

Mr. Hilt told he doesn't know if they, yeah they would address it, its sort of been addressed there was an inquiry from an individual in Carroll County and the State Office staff responded with thinking the person further inquired ill just sort of go from this email a little and says when financing for the Carroll County PSA long range water was approved by Rural Development in 2009 it was our understanding a mandatory ordinance has been passed the letter of conditions issued by Rural Development to the PSA for the long range project included this statement. Rural Development understands that the PSA has adopted and enforces a mandatory connection ordinance, a copy of this ordinance must be provided for Rural Development review prior to advertisement for construction bids you must provide certification attesting to the number of users. In addition you must provide a legal opinion from your attorney which states that the PSA has the legal ability to enforce this ordinance. The opinion must outline specific references to the Code of Virginia regarding this ability otherwise this letter of conditions will be modified to require user agreements from the new users and then they elaborated in that was a direct statement from the letter of conditions but in the email that was provided to an individual that inquired our response from Richmond was Virginia code does allow an entity to charge non user fees if a mandatory connection ordinance is in place, however Rural Development neither requires the passing of a mandatory connection ordinance nor the collection of user fees these decisions are made solely by the applicant. If the applicant has passed a mandatory connection ordinance as collecting non user fees Rural Development will consider the non-user fees as a source of income when it underwrites a project. In addition, we will waive the requirement that an applicant obtain user agreements from new connections. In the case of the long range water project which I think was the first one that this affected, the PSA submitted evidence that the mandatory connection ordinance had been adopted. As a result Rural Development included non-user fees in our financial equations when determining the PSA's ability to repay the Rural Development loan. To summarize the non-user fees were not required by Rural Development, however the non-user fees were used to calculate the PSA's ability to repay the water loan. To discontinue the non-user fees would be the decision of the PSA, however if the fees are discontinued some other source of revenue would need to be realized whether it be from new connections or the raising of rates to the actual users of the system. Such a decision would need to be made by the PSA.

Mr. Durbin told that is helpful in maybe moving forward but a lot of that states what was necessary at the time. We had choices at the time we did the borrowing but once those terms are incorporated into bond are you saying an email is all it needs to change from one to the other.

Mr. Hilt replied well it would require a vote by the Board and then of course before they vote to rescind it I think they're going to have to have a plan that's going to show how they are going to generate the revenue to be able to pay the expenses associated with the water system and the sewer system and of course we like for the water system to be self-sustaining on its own and the sewer system to be self-sustaining on its own.

Mr. Durbin asked if that is something Rural Development would want to see.

Mr. Hilt told we are going to trust that the PSA does what it takes to be able to pay their expenses and then we are going to verify it when we look at the audit reports.

Mr. Durbin told he appreciates that.

Mr. Truitt told Robert will be available when we get to the questions. Thank you very much Robert.

Mr. Truitt told he is going to speak very briefly, this is not an expression of an opinion as to what ought to be done, this is just very quickly laying out what laws exist at the state level, what ordinances we have now and how they fit together. That may prompt some more discussion, this is not a solution it is just a little bit of background information and then we will get to you all saying the things that you are here to say. Virginia Code very clearly says that water systems are allowed to require connections to systems that pass by a home or a business and it has been ruled constitutional by the Virginia Supreme Court, it's never gotten to the US Supreme Court but its been upheld literally hundreds of times. Basically if you want to require these connections you can. Here in Carroll we passed such an ordinance but we also passed an exception to the ordinance which is if you have already got water and you don't want to connect you don't have to. That isn't the case everywhere you go, some places you have to sign up and that's it. That is not the case here, if you have an acceptable water system of your own you don't have to connect but our ordinance does say that you may be required to pay a connection fee, a frontage fee, a monthly fee of non-user service charge and that is also from Virginia Code and this is what our ordinances are based on. I am laying a baseline of how it actually works then you will get to say what you think, you may not like it. So, the Carroll Board of Supervisors, based on those two Virginia laws passed a law giving the PSA the authority to require connections, that doesn't mean that they must, that doesn't mean anything other than they can. That is what our law says as it is written now here in Carroll County. Again, we took advantage of the exception to the Virginia law to require the monthly non user service charge for the new systems that came on board that were financed by the USDA loans and this is our current law as it was passed. It's the one that you're interested in talking about getting repealed but again all this does is says it may be required its an authorization. It's not making it mandatory in the sense that the PSA shall do it. What we have is a situation where we have laws that are based on state laws that have been upheld literally hundreds of times throughout the country where it is ruled that local governments and local water systems have the right to do this if they want to. It doesn't mean you have to like it, it doesn't mean you have to do it but until the seven guys and the two ladies in Washington say otherwise it is constitutional right now. This is just case law there are literally hundreds of these. Whatever we decide to do we need to address both the physical health with respect to the debt that we owe that we can't just dismiss, its already been taken on board and we have to pay it back and we only have so many ways that we can do that. Although we have many people that are fortunate enough to have good wells and I'm happy for you and you don't want to connect and you don't want to use the water, there are many many others who don't and our situation could change any time. The four biggest users of water are Carroll County through the wells that we use to provide water for large pieces of the county, there is one well that produces a half a million gallons a month, what if something happened to that well. We want to keep the tools in place so that we can do what is right for the county. We are not looking to force people into contracts they don't want. We are not looking to do things that are unfair but what we are doing is keeping a tool set open that allows us to do the things that are required. That's all I really wanted to say, I'm not saying we can't not have a mandatory connection fee, we cannot have one. I'm not saying we maybe shouldn't look at a different rate structure that might feel more fair, we can do that we can do all kinds of things but no matter what we do we have to pay those loans back and we have to do what is right for all the citizens and that's all I really wanted to say. We will answer questions, this kind of stuff gets complicated and when ya'll are done speaking we will answer questions until we have done our best to answer them all.

Mr. Hill told citizens when you are speaking in the back I can hear this mumbling plus you are not communicating to us. We want to hear from each of you but make sure you do it up here. Give everyone else an opportunity to speak, be quiet and listen. This is a fact finding hearing and that's what we want it to be. Going forward you will have 3 minutes unless someone donates their time to you.

Mr. Greg Farris told I would like to repeal the water act, I don't think it is fair for people that's not getting the water or getting to use any of the water. Most of the folks already being charged for it already has wells and septic tanks and they are keeping them up themselves. Most of this was over a development for Loves Truck Stop and Micky D's and all this other stuff and I don't think it's fair for the community to have to pay for those people being accessible to water in the sewage system which we don't have to pay for and it's just not fair. If I have any time left I would like to donate it to someone else that's got more education than I do, only thing I am is an old redneck and I'm tired of paying for something I ain't getting.

Mr. Greg McCraw told I don't think its right to charge these people for this water just because it runs by their house. I'm sure some people probably does need it and that's a nice thing if they do but it isn't fair to charge everybody else just because one man needs it. I think it needs to be repealed and the only way to repeal the non-user fee is to repeal and like Greg said if you develop these exits and you draw this big business in they the ones that should have to foot the bill for this. As far a borrowing the money, if you aint got the money to do it you should hold off just a little bit. Wait till you have got the money and the expense to do it and you aint gotta worry about having to borrow and pay back.

Mr. Gary Mooneyhan told he is basically going to repeat what they say its just not fair. I know in one case where someone has sold the land and they are still getting a bill for it but their meter was sold, it went with the property but the woman that's still owning part of it she is still paying the bill. That's not fair and I know one or two people that has inherited another bill, one of them is here today he was telling me that his mom and dad passed away now he is getting the water bill and he's done got one of his own. Nobody's living in house why should they get a bill. It just don't make sense and another case where this needs to be repealed. If you are not using it why can't you disconnect from it. They do it other places, Ive got rental property in Mt. Airy and if I call and tell them to cut the water off they cut it off.

Mr. Larry McCraw told I don't think its right I get a bill every month and I aint paid for it yet, I don't even have a hookup. They tore up my trees when they put the water line in and they are dying and that was on my part. They took the thing and jerked the limbs out of my tree and that's the only thing keeping the lights off my property from the truck stop. I'm right in front of the dern truck stop. They tore my mailbox down twice well a half a dozen times cause the turn around there. Repeal it because I don't think it is right.

Mr. Bill Greene told I think probably what your hearing more tonight is the word fair. I think probably since its inception on Carrollton Pike I'm not sure what date that was that this PSA Ordinance was implemented but since that day I have used neither one of the services, sewer or water I have both on the property now. That could add up to a lot of money that I've paid for a service that I've not gotten. Sort of like going in Walmart and paying a fee for something that you may buy but you don't and you walk out but you don't get your money back, it's something to think about.

Ms. Diane Robinson told my husband is here to speak for the people of Carroll County that cannot speak for themselves, a lot of them are not here.

Mr. Martin, excuse me ma'am, your name is.

Ms. Diane Robinson my husband is Benny Robinson.

Mr. Martin told we do the minutes based on what is said and if we don't have the name.

Ms. Diane Robinson told like I said I am here with my husband, this issue does not involve our property at all. My husband is here to speak for those who cannot speak for themselves. He wants to do what is right for Carroll County and for the citizens of Carroll County. My husband does not fabricate numbers, he doesn't pull numbers out of the air, he does his research and he attends these meetings so I think you should listen to him.

Mr. Benny Robinson told he understands the interest of time but I also understand the criticality of this. We have been coming over here for a long time and its time to either fish or cut bait. Mr. Chairman and members of the Board for the record I am Benny Robinson and I thank you for this hearing, its encouraging to see democracy in action. All of you on the Board of Supervisors will be known from this moment and this night forward from your vote and the outcome of this hearing. The people have spoken and they continue to speak. I'm going to give you if you think this isn't serious business I am going to give you 500 signatures asking for a repeal and that is not amending that is 500 signatures and there is more coming in every day and I expect we can accumulate, well I'll say it this way I've already told one particular supervisor, given the time I can give you 10,000 signatures. And I promise you, I promise you these signatures only come from three districts and every one of them is petitioning for repeal of this ordinance and you cannot help but notice is the turnout tonight the most people I have seen at any meeting. We are here to discuss not how or why your predecessors got us into this mess that's not what we are here for. I thank Mr. Hilt, thank you so much for confirming what we've already said many times here. We are here as the wording of the public hearing was to solicit comments on amendment and or repeal of Carroll County Code section 235.21 as well as rate structures pertaining to mandatory non user fees related to water and I couldn't help but notice folks you left sewer out. Why do we leave sewer out, I don't know I mean that's part of the same ordinance. We are here to ask for repeal of this ordinance period and I will talk later to water rate structures and it seems that the latest energy in rant that I am hearing from county officials is more about the loss of revenue than about doing the right thing. As I talk tonight I am going to use the use of the pronoun you will imply both singular and plural persons speaking of the PSA and the Board of Supervisors. Allow me to restate why this demands repealed. You have already began to hear it, I've been out collecting signatures, I've talked to a lot of people. There is a double triple quadruple standard being used to administrate this ordinance. Its not being decided with equality, it is a violation of Virginia statue, the fair and reasonableness clause. Folks, we can read law too I promise you and you can tell me till the cows come home that this is constitutional been upheld by courts well that's not what I am here for. I still maintain that it is unconstitutional, court precedent is not the issue. The issue is trespass of basic core rights of our Constitution, individual freedom of choice. Those rights that you took an oath to defend. This ordinance is not biblical, it is simply stealing and taking without due process. Let me speak to the elected appointed and count employees who have resisted us in this repeal. Do you recognize the difference in good and evil, right and wrong or has government power and position poisoned your reasoning. If you think this ordinance is right then I have to ask is it fitting that your integrity is such that you should continue to serve the people of Carroll County. Satan's native language is lies and deception. This ordinance has a plethora of ethics violations. Information flow has been difficult, inaccurate and skewed. False accusations have been the order of the day. Freedom of Information flow has been impeded. PSA employees brag in arrogance of their prosecuting delinquents. This ordinance is an egregious shameful example of government overreach and abuse of power. How egregious you may ask, this fee is to some citizens sitting in this room the difference in purchasing a prescription, buying groceries or buying other necessities. There are many households in Carroll County where food availability does not satisfy necessities. Many of you in the high five and six figure salaries have snubbed your nose at these conditions. Another legality has surfaced since I last spoke to you by way of definitions you might want to write this down, what is a fee verses attacks. This non user fee is not a fee at all, it is a tax because nothing is delivered for the money taken from people. Listen up taxes are meant to generate revenue not fees. Administrators continue in defilement in the fact that there is no end to this fee ask well folks this goes on forever even after the loans are satisfied and paid back. I believe the people have spoken and they are going to continue to speak and they are not pleased. Repeal of this ordinance is the only satisfaction is the only thing that will satisfy our resolve. We did not get you guys into this mess. Take note anybody and I mean anybody can govern by raising rates and continuing to spend. It don't take an astronaut to be able to do that and it does not take any special skills. You are simply stonewalling this thing trying to treat the disease and not just the symptoms. This requires some arduous remedies. These remedies come through cost cutting and spending freezes. There's how you get there, you don't have to spin this thing around anymore. Make no mistake that present rate structures have been

subsidized, let me read this again make no mistake that present rate structures have been subsidized off the backs of these non-users now you can't figure out how to do without this money. Likewise present rate structures are underwritten by County taxpayers given the million dollar capital infusion keeping the PSA financially above water. That yearly million dollar subsidy must be phased out. Water users in this county should thank these nonusers for the monies that they have paid to ease their water rates. Whatever remedy you choose you do not go alone the ultimate impact will lay on the backs of our citizens and taxpayers. Simplistic language, you don't have to pay we do. During World War II a frustrated Winston Churchill often demanded of Parliament who is in charge of this clamoring train. I'm asking you who is in charge of this PSA clamoring train. We are watching I urge you vote tonight repeal this injustice. Thank you.

Ms. Linda Edwards told I would like to repeal and any time I have I would like to give to Mr. Robinson.

Mr. Roger Edwards told I feel the same way.

Mr. Junior Vass told I guess I will get run out of here tonight but I've had the water, I love the water, I needed the water and I asked for water for 20 years. Once I did I hooked up to it because just a few years before that on Christmas Eve my well went out. I had families coming so what did I do. Luckily my neighbor had an outside spicket that he let me hook onto. The \$25 a month if you don't use it is just good insurance because you don't know when you're going to use it or when you are going to need it. Well pumps fail, pipes fail. You think about it at \$25 a month that's \$250 a quarter. I think you are making a mistake myself. I wouldn't give nothing for mine, I tried 20 years before I got it. That's what's going to happen if we get rid of yours everybody else's is going to be raised. I don't want to subsidize you all. You got it available so take it.

Mr. William Surratt told when all this came about people in the community was needing water, I agree with that man. Funny thing is it never happened till y'all got Loves Truck Stop. That's good and all, progress you can't stop it. It is not right to make a man pay for something that he don't need. I have three wells on my property. At one time I was asked to sell y'all water because I had more pressure than you did. In doing y'all's non user fee tax or non-user fee ever how you want to word it I've also acquired more tax value for my land just because its passing through. So if you really want to truly get to it I'm paying double things for the property tax going up, I'm paying a non-user fee. My mother is 86 years old she has to pay a non-user fee. I understand where you are going from but somethings to to be done. This is just not the correct way to do things and the question I wanted to write down on the card. I called the Board when it come up or talk to someone that was in charge when the fees went from \$24 to \$28. The two answers I got is if yall didn't raise the rates you wouldn't have got the grant, that come straight from the office for the last grant that yall received. Now whether that's true or not I can't prove it I can only tell you what I was told. The other biggest concern that you have, I live across from Loves Truck Stop everything is great until the old septic system up across the road starts smelling. Then you can't sit on your porch and enjoy nothing. Progress is one thing but yall let a septic system go on land that wouldn't perk because you called it a treatment center. I still have yet to figure out how you can build something on something that wouldn't perk. Nobody else in the community can build a house or do anything if their land wouldn't perk because yall would approve it. The septic system got approved on land that was considered swamp land right beside a creek. Nobody is answered on how that got approved either. I'm not as old as my mom, my mom was there before 77 come through. Everybody made it just fine before this water came through. One of the reasons you don't hear nothing about the septic system or anybody fussing about that is because it has not been mandatory that we pay or take it yet. I talked to a guy that was wanting to open a business down there at one time he said he had to pay for his own septic system. If that was true why do we have to pay a water bill that we don't use. Yall are making triple profit on me. You got a raise for your water I don't use so everything you are collecting from me is nothing but pure profit, where's that money going because I ain't getting no use out of it. My mother is the same way we don't use the first drop of that water.

Mr. William Payne told I hear what everybody is saying on the non-user fee and I know where they are coming from I see where they do on that. I do have water myself, I have three meters, I have my home, I have a couple of rental properties and I have a car wash. I know repealing this if it is some other system somethings got to be done different but I certainly don't want to see water rates go up. At that car wash I am using a lot of water there and its already a pretty big bill every month anyway. I've been on the board for six years and I do realize that the PSA board does have to make things satisfactory to loans to show that they can pay them back. The non-user fee was a way of doing that but if that's something that needs to be repealed it needs to be looked at in some other way besides raising rates because its not been too awful long since we had a rate increase. That's my biggest concern is about the rates being raised on the water.

Ms. Judy Jones told I am with the Cliffview Project and just to piggyback on what this gentleman said actually we were told in previous meetings that if the nonuser fee went away it would probably increase someone's rate 60 cents because that is what the 79 people that are being charged \$28 a month, if that went away users would probably only have to pay 60 cents extra a month. I did not sign up for the water, I did not get a meter yet like the others 78 nonusers I am being forced and threatened to pay a \$28 tax forever and ever and ever. We were told it would never go away no matter when those loans get paid off. I'm being charged for something or a service that I am not receiving so I am asking please repeal this ordinance for the citizens of Carroll County.

Mr. Robert Patton told that I live at 3066 Fries Road and I have another address 3090 Fries Road and I am paying \$672 dollars a year on nonuser fees. That's a pretty big hit for a lot of people that are on a fixed income of probably less than \$500. I just don't think that it is right that people should be having to pay for something that they are not getting and just because it is legal that doesn't mean its right. There is a lot of moral and ethical issues that goes along with this and I think we need to repeal this.

Mr. Brian Felts told I want to repeal it and I donate my time.

Mr. Grady Felts told I want to give my time to Benny too.

Ms. Jerri Lynn Crain told I am also asking for a repeal and I also want to address Mr. Vass who left the room. I understand that it is a good thing for those people that need it and if I needed it I don't mind paying for it. I pay Mr. Jennings for my water and its up to date until April next year, its not like I don't pay my bills but I'm not paying for this water that I am not using. My personal property tax increased \$2000 a year so I am also paying for your water even though I am not paying for the non user fee. I am asking you for a repeal, this is not fair not at all. I feel discriminated against someone that lives 200 feet off of that road they shouldn't be treated any different than me. If I hot to pay a non user fee that person 200 feet off the road is still in that line they should have to pay it but none of us should have to pay anything we are not getting. Its stealing money out of my pocket. I'm asking for a repeal and I am a voter I want to remind everyone of you that.

Mr. Lindsey Vernon told I want to repeal.

Mr. Spencer Strickland told I too would like to see it repealed as it stands. It was sort of amusing to me, I live in Lambsburg near Loves Truck Stop and you guys brought a water system to my area and we don't even have broadband internet. I would think probably most of these folks in her could have used broadband high speed internet a little worse than they could have used a water system when they all probably had wells that worked just fine that they had been paying for to fix and keep up over the years. That's just my two cents, I appreciate it.

Ms. Tonda Strickland told she would like to repeal and give her time to Mr. Robinson.

Mr. Randall Strickland told I am glad that people has got water that needed it but its sort of like the Appalachian power line that runs by my house if I don't want to hook up to it I don't have to. I say repeal and thank y'all.

Mr. Robert Mabe told what time I don't talk I will let it go toward Benny. I would like to say that I've got no water hookup, I don't have a meter, I don't have a pipe running to the house or anything. There ain't nothing out there but PVC duct running. It ain't a thing in the world but communism and yall know it is. Its stealing off the other band and I don't think it's right to have to pay for something that you're not getting. Its just like you said about the Appalachian if there's a thing going by the house that you do your computer and you don't have to pay for it and the computer lines going by and your not hooked up to it so naturally your not paying for it so why should we have to pay for water. And on the sewer thing seems like you are trying to take the make us mandatory on the water and if you take that away then you will make it mandatory on the sewer and I don't think that's right. Its more or less taking from Paul and paying Peter and your just stealing to me.

Mr. Benny Robinson told he would like to make a few more comments. I would like to summarize a couple of things. It is more than pleasing to hear us dispel the rumor that went on for months that USDA made us do it. The USDA did not make you do it. We got into this mess ourselves and we need to find a way to get out of it. I hope you also noticed as people spoke tonight the discrepancies that exist in administering this water services and sewer services. It is unconscionable as I go out and talk to people the examples that I hear. I am hearing and I can take you to those people but I won't. I hear people say I negotiated with the PSA, I gave them right away to come across my land and in turn they said they wouldn't charge me the non-user fee. I have people getting water they are not even paying for it, they got a meter and they are not even getting the bill. What I said there is a double standard, a triple standard and a quadruple standard I could have go on if I knew what those words were but I didn't get that far at Woodlawn High School but anyway if you want to know what is going on Mr. Littrell, Mr. Martin I know Phil is working to get out in your district and talk to your people. Go talk to them I mean I have hit the leather my leather has hit the street, I have talked to them and I have heard some stories that I honestly cannot believe in Carroll County but I'm not trying to refute them. I'm just saying that's the story. To businesses that don't want to see water rates go up you know a businessman raises his costs in order to offset what he is being charged. People listen to me carefully, people on \$400 a month fixed income don't get to raise their income levels, its fixed. To people who want the water you have never heard us say one time you shouldn't give people water. Anybody who wants the water please make sure they get water. We have never, never one time said people should not get water. We have said people should not be charged for something that they are not getting. That's our entire motivation so if they want water let them get the water and Spencer Strickland you need to come to the next meeting on Broadband.

Mr. Hill told now we will do the question and answer.

Mr. Benny Robinson said excuse me just one more thing, there are examples in the country one in North Carolina that I know of and one in West Virginia where this non user fee was repealed and I know from discussions tonight there's a lot of people in Kernersville North Carolina that's got a huge check in their mailbox. Just something for you to think about.

Mr. Truitt told the first question is, if things stayed as is what is the time frame that the water system would be paid for. Also, what would the fees be after it was paid off. Mr. Truitt told it is in the mid 30 year range. As far as what the fees would be after, it's hard to say I'm not even sure what it will be next year. Next question: what year did sign up begin for this project.

Mr. Hilt told on long range water I think funds were obligated for it in 2009.

Mr. Truitt read what was the set fee for water. Do you recall Jessica.

Ms. Jessica Montgomery told at that time it was \$24.

Mr. Truitt read what was the maximum amount a non-user had to pay.

Ms. Montgomery told that it was \$24.

Mr. Truitt read what fees are incurred once a non-user decides to use or become a user.

Ms. Montgomery told if they do not have a meter that is \$1250.

Mr. Truitt read what are you going to add to this \$28 annual fee. I don't know that I understand the question but I don't know that we are looking to add anything in particular. Next question: when will it be repealed. I'll let the Supervisors address that one. Next question: I was told that the grant would not be approved if the water rate did not go from \$24 to \$28. I'm not sure which grant we are speaking about.

Inaudible from the audience.

Mr. Truitt told I don't know about the \$24 to \$28 statement but your statement is well taken. I will say I had a place in Texas where the water came by it and there was no choice, you had to connect and you had to quit using your well and that was that. I'm just telling you there is a world out there stuff happens. That is all for this, would you like to attempt to take others.

Mr. Hill told that he thinks we have had enough questions and answers.

Mr. Phillip McCraw told the PSA started out to be self-supporting and the editorial order when this was first mentioned five Supervisors went out so it looked like they sort of found a way around it they put in a PSA which you the citizens can't vote on. Tom Littrell and myself are on the Board of Supervisors, were on the ticket each year and you can vote on us. I wonder if the PSA sometimes forgot where their authority came from. I know a stands for authority and I really think it should be PSS, Public Service Suggest not Authority. That's got us quiet a bit of debt. I had a question at the PSA meeting about another 32 potential customers, 75 potential of 30 some may be signed up and me holding it up. Another \$1.7 million project that's the lowest figure went from that to \$4 million plus whatever and yes I'm trying to hold it up. I think before we apply for anymore grant with the debt the county is under I think it should be put to a vote on the ballot and let the citizens vote if we want more debt. I got an old pickup, it's kinda rusty and I put up with it because its paid for and I can afford it. I could go down and get me a nice shiny new one but I gotta figure what its gonna cost me. That is the same way with this water. County water is not cheap. The same one that grilled me over more water is here tonight hoping his water bill don't go up. I think its time for us to let the public have a say and get back in the county government and lets let them do a little voting for themselves instead of us making decisions.

Mr. Martin asked if it was \$46 million the total amount of money we got from your agency. Part of the responsibility and job description for the Board is to manage the funds of the county in the very best way we could and we got \$46 million that we accumulated in debt and I believe you said that \$19 million of that was grants. I just point out to you how many of you would like to buy dollar bills for 58 cents. That's what the county did, we started out from scratch trying to everybody saying to get industry you got to have water. That's the problem with talking up her because I don't feel like arguing. We borrowed money at 58 cents, it cost a dollar bill and we paid 58 point 7 cents for each dollar that we got. I don't recall saying that we were ordered to charge non user fees cause there wasn't a gun held to our head but the way the federal government works is would you like to have \$20 million for the school system, hello yes, well its free however Bob you got to do these four things and if you don't do them you don't get it. Basically what choice did you have. We had to show that we could pay the bill one way or another and if we couldn't do that we wouldn't have got dollar bills for 58 cents. One last thing is you mentioned broadband. At the end of February I was invited to the White House, one of two hundred people in the US. I went up there, if you want to know something you gotta listen. One of two people in the state of Virginia, the Vice President conducted the meeting and the biggest concern was if you want prosperity in rural America, number one on the list was broadband. Sunny Purdue was the Chairman of it and President Trump has a high powered group working on that and then later on in the spring Robbie and I went to Washington and at that meeting it was to meet on need.

Overwhelmingly the speaker spoke and said broadband and you had muggy mugs there I don't have all the answers on this but I wanted you to know that we are working on broadband. I don't know what else to do but I think we got our message across. As I see this Mr. Chairman, this didn't come about some dark night on Friday the 13th. It came about over many years with little segments included and so forth and as this little subdivision was taken in there are so many differences in thing because of the way it came together. The worst thing you could do would be to just vote without taking a long hard look at it because we are responsible for the debt. I can tell you for Pine Creek district it will be a cold day in a hot place that the deep water section down at Dugspur gets water and we are paying for it. Pine Creek gets less than most of the others are we going to stick it on all those taxpayers. We have to take I believe a serious hard look at the rate structure because we are obligated to pay these.

Inaudible from audience.

Mr. Martin replied we stared looking at them the day it was proposed ma'am but here we go I don't want to get into an argument that's my time. I'm leaving in about two minutes and you won't have a quorum.

Mr. Truitt told we did start looking at rate structures that day and have been ever since along with Jessica Montgomery and her crew with the PSA and we've come up with many different alternatives that would allow the PSA to stay revenue neutral but the rate structure might be different. So different people with different things possibly even zero as an alternative for a certain group of people. One of those alternatives to change the rate structure won't require anything except a change to the rate structure. Its two different conversations that are going on here. The message of the night loud and clear has been repeal repeal but you can change the rate structures and make things feel fairer.

Mr. Hill told I have a couple comments to make.

Mr. Martin told I'm leaving in 50 seconds and you're going to have a little question of closing your meeting.

Dr. Littrell told I listened to all of you folks I think you can listen to me.

Inaudible from audience.

Unidentified from audience, your lying Bob you are lying.

Mr. Martin replied I'll bet you a thousand dollars to a nickel. Where can we meet and I'll bring the bill.

Mr. Hill told Dr. Littrell has the floor.

Mr. Martin replied I told you I was leaving and I'm leaving.

Inaudible from the audience.

Mr. Hill told we will continue.

Dr. Littrell told I think I would like to thank those that took the time to express their opinions today. One of the basic freedoms we as Americans enjoy is free speech, that also means not being interrupted by others. Even if a citizen chooses not to hook up to our water systems I would like to point out there are benefits when public water is available in your neighborhood. First thing, fire hydrants are placed every few feet which provides better fire protection for you and your loved ones. Second, if homeowners insurance agents are notified usually your homeowners insurance premiums will be lowered. There is the availability to hook onto the water system later should a well fail which does happen from time to time. And lastly, citizens wanted to subdivide their property in areas that are served with public water can develop smaller lots. One common misconception that many people have is there is no cost associated with using a well. If a well fails a new well will cost thousands of dollars. Valves fail, pumps fail or

can be struck by lightning and need to be replaced. Pressure tanks fail and there is a portion of your homeowners electric bill assigned to the cost of running the pump. If this ordinance is vacated there will be unintended consequences that no one can foresee the actual impact on the future of Carroll County. Based on past discussions I don't think it would be the will of this Board to obligate Carroll County funds for additional water projects. There will probably never be another water project built in the county and there will be an economic penalty to pay because new businesses and existing businesses wanting to expand will be limited to areas already served with public water. I'm guessing every Supervisor ran on an expanding job opportunity in Carroll County and this will surely stifle future economic development and make selling the county as a great place to set up shop much more difficult. The PSA has discussed this issue among themselves and with the BOS chair. The PSA understands changes should and will be made. It is my hope that the Board of Supervisors will allow the PSA to correct the problem rather than rescinding the applicable ordinance. Any rate changes that the PSA proposes will require a public hearing and then action later. Thank you.

Mr. Hill told he appreciates you being as cordial as you have been. I know it's a contentious issue for everyone, it is for us as well. I'm opposed to the non user fee as I've discussed before but I'd be glad to pay for the water bill myself. I've got two wells and both of them went dry last year. We were cleaning out the tanks this afternoon where I get water that runs off my rood so we can wash or clothes so I don't use what little water I have left in my well. I'm too far out for water so there's people that do need it but I don't expect the county to pay for that. We don't have a quorum so after this I'm going to close the public hearing at 7:57 p.m. I guess we will have to adjourn.

Mr. Durbin told you can't conduct any kind of business.

Mr. Truitt asked about the Fair Committee.

Mr. Durbin told that we can listen to them.

(Order)

COUNTY FAIR UPDATE – KEVIN SEMONES

Mr. Hill told lets do that, that's important. One of the best things we have going in Carroll County is our County Fair. We have the FFA you seen here earlier, they help you have the Sheriff's Department help, county employees, Board of Supervisors everybody's helped. It is the greatest thing we have. We have the best bang for the buck at the County Fair.

Inaudible from audience.

Mr. Kevin Semones told that you're not going to get a polished speech from me but we will talk a little bit about this year's fair and a couple previous ones. Most of you have seen these things before but you have had the best fair in the state of Virginia two years in a row. We would like to make it three, we will see how it works out. We are really proud of that and we couldn't do it without you all. These folks and about 100 more just like them are really important to us and you are going to keep hearing that tonight. Its always hard to tell you the exact number that come through the gate but there is somewhere between 19 and 20 thousand, you have surpassed the New River Valley Fair, what is supposedly considered the biggest fair in this part of the world. It keeps growing each year, each night we get better attendance than the previous year. I told you last year every year was better. We've got some problems to try to work around but its been a really good thing. I want to read to you kind of the goals of the fair or part of them anyway. To be both a fun and educational event for people of all ages, to give our community an economical place to enjoy themselves while learning about the importance of ag, to become a community event that everyone takes pride in and we hope we are doing that we are trying our best to. To give individuals a chance to reminisce about the way things used to be and at the same time show how agriculture in the area has progressed. We want people to see the diversity we have and involve the kids. He reviewed what they do during the fair and talked about the numbers associated as far as

participations. There is a lot of people we need to thank, Carroll County Search and Rescue we give them a little bit but nowhere near enough. Skyview Missionary Baptist Church, they show up with piles of volunteers every night and it takes a lot of folks to pull this off. The Sheriff's Department stayed in the road and tried to slow traffic down. VDOT and EMS were there as well. On the issues he showed a map that tells about the parking. One of the challenges is not having enough people to help with parking. As we continue to grow and the shows grow we are thinking a building or a barn or something is a great need and we have to come up with some more bathrooms. If you look at the map some of the things we have talked about there is some land to the south that we have to figure out how to utilize. One of the thoughts is if it would work to move the lawn mower race it would open it up for another event. The challenge is it takes a wide long space for it but it draws a big crowd. There is 90 acres across the road and the Rec Department uses a lot, but there is a lot that they don't use. There is some land that some of us has worked on out the road past the market. We have looked at it to use as overflow parking and it will require a shuttle bus to get them back there. That is kind of where we are at. This fair requires a lot of volunteers and it wouldn't happen without them. I can't say thank you to them enough.

Mr. Martin asked if you could make one recommendation for the next fair what would it be.

Mr. Semones told the cheapest thing would be more bleachers and we need them really bad. If we had plenty of money I would say work on across the road leveling it out. It would be a major challenge to do that. Farm Bureau has offered to put up \$10,000 on a pavilion if we find some matching money.

Mr. Martin told the good news is we have the best small fair in the State and the bad news is we got the best small fair in the State. Another thing I worry about other than being called a liar a little earlier is do you ever get to take your wife out. That should be part of your job description. Where I'm coming from you must work 80 or 90 hours a week. If you ever take a notion to step down it will be exciting times around here. Has the time come to go to a full time person for planning.

Mr. Semones told I can't answer that, whatever y'all choose. It's not me it is these folks. It takes everybody to make this thing work. Va Produce had a float in the parade. We took the fall festival at the market and turned it into the fair, Crystal I keep going back to her because she was the chairman for the first two years, Eddie was the 3rd chairman. I hope we are doing the right things and going in the right direction.

Mr. Martin told there were people who came in from Surry and Pulaski and one family that comes from New Jersey every year.

Mr. Semones told that the family came here one year and they were at the motels above us one night and they were looking for something to do and someone told them about the County Fair and now every year this is their vacation. It is doing something for the economy as well. A lot of counties around us don't have a fair and others are kind of dying and you have a group of folks here that are seeing that this one is going forward. I think it is a positive event. If we can get to where we can build buildings one of these days there are a lot of events we can add.

Dr. Littrell told he would like to thank you and Teresa and all of the volunteers and also the sponsors. I have been going every year.

Mr. Semones told we keep learning each year.

(Order)

SUPERVISORS TIME

Mr. Martin told he wanted this included in the minutes. I'm known to stretch the truth from telling stories but for two months was \$107 is what I paid and here's the proof and somebody calls me a liar it sort of rubs me a little wrong and then he's not man enough to stand up and apologize for it. I would just like to have this be part of the minutes, it was an issue that's important.

Dr. Littrell told he pretty well had his say earlier but thank you for the opportunity anyway.

Mr. Phillip McCraw thanked everyone for coming and have a safe journey home.

Mr. Hill told we really appreciate the participation, it may not sound like it and it gets contentious at times but its important that citizens have comments and participation in government. I want to thank the fair folks again, its so many people that help it go on its unbelievable the number of volunteers we have each and every year.

TOWN OF HILLSVILLE
 P.O. BOX 548
 HILLSVILLE, VA 24343

FIRST CLASS MAIL
 IS POSTAGE
 PREPAID
 PERMIT NO. 2

MONTHLY SERVICE FROM 8/7/2018 TO 8/8/2018 DAYS 32

CODE	PREVIOUS	PRESENT	CONSUMPTION	AMOUNT	ACCOUNT NUMBER
WATE	92300	92340	5407	56.98	010-0014500-1
SERVE	12300	12340	3400	50.03	

SEARCH OF ADDRESS TO 12345
 350 HILSWOOD DR

PREVIOUS: \$0.00
 CONTRACT: 3107.02

BILLING DATE: 8/31/2018
 PAST DUE DATE: 8/27/2018

DAY BY PHONE: 866-552-8330
 QUESTIONS? PLEASE CALL 1-800-728-2128.

TOTAL DUE
 \$107.01

READ EVERY PAGE FOR IMPORTANT INFORMATION

ACCOUNT NUMBER	ACCOUNT HOLDER	CITY	STATE	ZIP
010 0014500	RALPH J MARVIN JR	HILLSVILLE	VA	24343
TOTAL DUE	330 HILSWOOD DR			
\$107.01	HILLSVILLE VA 24343			
AFTER DUE DATE	HILLSVILLE VA 24343			
\$17.91	HILLSVILLE VA 24343			

ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion by Dr. Littrell, second by Mr. Martin and passing, the Board recessed to the VACo Annual Meeting at Homestead.

VOTES

- Mr. Webb Not Present
- Mr. Phillip McCraw Yes
- Mr. Hill Yes
- Mr. Robbie McCraw Yes
- Dr. Littrell Not Present
- Mr. Martin Yes

(Order)

 Chairman

 Clerk

October 15, 2018